

MEETING:	Council
MEETING DATE:	18 December 2015
TITLE OF REPORT:	Notices of motion under standing orders
REPORT BY:	Governance manager

#### **Wards Affected**

County-wide

### **Purpose**

To consider Notices of Motion.

## Introduction and Background

- The Constitution provides that Members of Council can submit written notice of motions for debate at Council. A motion must be signed by at least one Member and submitted not later than midday on the seventh working day before the date of the Meeting.
- 2 Motions must be about matters for which the Council has a responsibility or which affect the area.
- Motions for which notice has been given will be listed on the agenda in the order in which notice was received, to a maximum of three, unless the Member giving notice states, in writing, that they propose to move it to a later meeting or withdraw it. Motions exceeding three are not listed on the Agenda and will be held over for listing on the Agenda for the next meeting in the order they were received.
- A maximum of 1 ½ hours will be allocated for dealing with notices of motion but that time may be extended at the discretion of the Chairman.
- Where a critical local situation arises a motion signed by two Members may be permitted in addition to the maximum of three if accepted by the Chairman in consultation with the Monitoring Officer.
- Three notices of motion have been received. These are set out below.

#### **MOTION ONE - Road markings**

(Proposed by Councillor NE Shaw)

Balfour Beatty, the Council's highways maintenance partner, operate under a blanket instruction in respect of road markings and currently have little contractual opportunity to be flexible in attending to safety related warning and information marks if they are not most severely worn. In the Bromyard vicinity, and presumably in other areas, markings outside schools and zebra crossings have become abraded to an extent that it is questionable if they continue to adequately convey the information to the motorist that they are designed to provide, and public concern is evident from communications received by the Ward Councillors affected.

This Council moves that the executive cabinet member responsible should reconsider the blanket approach in respect of road markings and special road treatments outside schools; fire, police and ambulance stations; pedestrian crossings and any other locations historically associated with serious or fatal accidents. That in such circumstances a request by a Parish Council, Headmaster or emergency services officer through the Ward Councillor be prioritised by our highways maintenance partner and delivery of an agreed scheme on the ground be effected within 3 calendar months unless delayed for reasons justified by the Cabinet Member responsible e.g. adverse weather, resurfacing being scheduled within the next 12 months, closure or consolidation of a location, other traffic scheme (TRO or otherwise) being imminent.

#### MOTION TWO - Additional 2% precept in respect of Adult Social Care.

(Proposed by Councillor J Stone and seconded by Councillor PA Andrews)

Following the Chancellor's announcement last week, and considering local demographics and the current pressure on our local health economy this Council should strongly consider accepting the additional 2% precept in respect of Adult Social Care. In making its recommendations to Council the executive is requested to consider how this money can be best used to protect services, continue to further transform our local health and social care system, reduce demand and ensure improved services for some of our most vulnerable citizens now and in the future.

# MOTION THREE – Supplementary planning document: intensive livestock units

(Proposed by Councillor AJW Powers, seconded by Councillor FM Norman)

That as the Local Planning Authority, in view of the absence of any specific policy in the Local Plan Core Strategy to replace the expired Policy E16 (Intensive Livestock Units) in the Unitary Development Plan; and to provide clear and robust policy guidance to all involved, including applicants, parish councils, officers and Planning Committee

This Council resolves that:

(a) The Executive be asked to develop - with officers, members and key stakeholders - a Supplementary Planning Document for intensive livestock units (and related forms of development) that will, as a minimum requirement, address matters of:

- public and residential amenity
- waste and manure management
- impacts on surface and ground waters
- landscape and visual impacts
- traffic movements, volumes and highway safety; and
- (b) this Supplementary Planning Document goes through the appropriate consultation and decision-making channels before being adopted.

# **Background Papers**

None